

1(3 Points). Use Minitab to verify (or otherwise) of the Example on pp. 97-98 of my Chapter 7 notes, which covers SI (Statistical Inference) on a Normal σ , testing the null hypothesis $H_0: \sigma = 7.00$ VS $H_1: \sigma < 7.0$ using Minitab's Stat \rightarrow Basic Statistics \rightarrow Scroll down to 1-Variance; for Minitab Data select Sample standard deviation \rightarrow the dialogue-box should now be self-explanatory. You must append the Minitab's output onto the MPR (Minitab Project Report). Finally, relate the P -value of this test with the hypothesized process-STDEV $\sigma_0 \equiv 7.00$, where X = Fracture Toughness of 18%-nickel Steel plates. The pertinent data are on Canvas. Does this problem involve Process-Control, PCA, or GOF (Goodness-of-Fit)?

2(2 Points). Use Minitab to verify (or otherwise) the results of the Example on pp. 99-101 of my Chapter 7 notes, which covers the SI on population proportion p . Go to Stat \rightarrow Basic Statistics \rightarrow Scroll down to 1 Proportion, testing $H_0: p = 0.20$. Using Minitab's summarized data, in the dialogue-box click on Option to select Normal Approximation and the rest should again be self-explanatory. Describe the relationship between the hypothesized $p_0 \equiv 0.20$ and the Minitab's 95% CI. You must append your output to your MPR. Does this problem involve SI, PCA, or GOF?

3(5 Points). (i, 2 Points) Use Minitab's 1-Sample Z to solve both parts (a) & (b) of Exercise 5 on p. 276 of Devore (8e), where it is assumed that Helium Porosity (HMP), an STB QCH, measured in percent $\sim N(\mu, 0.5625)$. However, for part (b) please keep the same sample size $n = 20$ and the same sample average (or mean) $\bar{x} = 4.85$. For parts (a & b), does the given sample data provide sufficient evidence that the process mean μ is less than 5.20 at the 5% level (and then at the 2%-level)? Which 2 of the corresponding CIs (95% or 98%) provide more information about μ ? Why? (ii, 1.5 Points) Minitab Can be used to work part (c) of this Exercise 5 on p. 276 of Devore (8e) as follows : Go to Stat \rightarrow Power and Sample Size \rightarrow Sample size for estimation; in the dialogue box put 0.40 for margin of error, then options, upper-bound, and make sure to tell Minitab that σ is known. Then, append onto your MPR. (iii, 1.5 Points) The same as in 3(ii) but for Exercise 5(d) on p. 276 of Devore (8e). The Minitab procedure will be the same as in part (ii) but the margin of error will be 0.20 (2-sided estimation), and please change the default CNFL (Conf. Level) of 0.95 to 0.99. Is the stated 99% CNFL also the same as the confidence coefficient $(1 - \alpha)$? Please append to your MPR. Does this problem involve SI, PCA, or Goodness-of-Fit? Please save your entire MPR as a word.docx (optionally convert to a pdf), submit either to xzw0005 and/or upload only your word.docx (or pdf) onto Canvas. Thanks for your cooperations! S. Maghsoodloo (Midterm next Monday using only Minitab)