#### Data Center Specific Thermal and Energy Saving Techniques



Tausif Muzaffar and Xiao Qin

Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering Auburn University

SAMUEL GINN College of Engineering

UNIVERSITY

#### **Big Data Big Data** is growing fast Structured and Annual growth rate unstructured data The digital universe will grow to In social media alone. every 60 seconds 2.7<sub>ZB</sub> in 2012, up new blog posts are 5% published, and from 2011, toward nearly 8zb tweets are sent<sup>3</sup> by 2015<sup>3</sup>



#### **Data Centers**

- In 2013, there are over 700 million square feet of data centers in united states
- Data centers account for 1.2% of all data power consumed in United States



#### Part 1 THERMAL MODEL



#### **Data Center Power Usage**



#### **Thermal Recirculation**



### Thermal Recirculation Management

- Sensor Monitoring
- Therman Simulations



#### **Prior Thermal Models**

- Some are based on power rather than workload
- Ignore I/O heavy applications
- Requires some sensor support
- Not easily ported to different platforms







#### **Research Goal**

iTad: making a simple and practical way to <u>estimate the temperature</u> of a data node based on

- CPU Utilization
- I/O Utilization
- Average Conditions of a Data Center





#### **Our Focus**

- To focus on each server separately and find the outlet temperature
- To estimate inlet temperature based on that outlet temperature



#### **Server Model**

- Three factors affect the output temperature of a single node
  - Inlet Temperature
  - CPU Workload
  - I/O Workload





#### **Server Model Equations**

$$Q_i = pfc_p(T_{out_i} - T_{in})$$
$$T_{out_i} = \frac{Q_i}{pfc_p} + T_{in_i}$$

$$Q_i = h_r A \triangle T_i$$

(1) Convective Heat Transfer of Server

> (2) Radiant Heat Transfer of Server

 $\Delta T_{i} = \Delta T_{workload_{i}}$   $+ (T_{out_{idle}} - T_{in_{idle}})$ 

(3) Change in temperature



#### **Server Model Equations**

$$h_r A \triangle T_i = pfc_p (T_{out_i} - T_{in_i})$$
$$Z = \frac{h_r A}{pfc_p} = \frac{T_{out_i} - T_{in_i}}{\triangle T_i}$$
$$T_{out_i} = Z \triangle T_i + T_{in_i}$$

# (4) Set Radiant and Convection equal to each other and solve for Tout





#### **Workload Model**

#### To assess how the CPU and I/O effect workload





#### Inlet Model

 After the first run we need to update the inlet temperature to do that we developed this model



#### **Determining Parameters**

- To implement this model we need to get the following constants
  - Maximum I/O and CPU can affect the outlet temperature
  - Z which is a collection of constants



### **Gathering Values**

- We thermometers to gather inlet and outlet temperatures
- We used infrared thermometers to get the surface temperature





#### **Test Machines**



AUDUKN

#### **Data Capture**

• We gathered surface temperature and stored the values like so

29.9 CPU: 00002% 30.6 30.6 32.9 32.3 32 32.6 I/O: 0689% Avg: 35.2 30.1 31.8 35.1 34.1 31 34.2 26.9 40.4 49.7 40.2 39.4 57.8 36.7 36.1 33.8 30.1 29.2 30 29.2 30.1 29.4 35 29.8 29.8 30.4 31 31.2 31.4

29.9

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Determining Constants**

- We observed the rate in changed with CPU and I/O
- We used the values to calculate Z

$$T_{out_i} = Z \triangle T_i + T_{in_i}$$

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Verification

 After getting the constants we ran a live test where we had a computer run tasks and we measured actual outlet temperatures vs. model outlet temperature

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Implementations

MPI using iTad to decisions

```
if(iTad() < 29)
Ł
    if (mvid != 0)
       MPI Recv(&number, 1, MPI INT, myid-1, 0, MPI COMM WORLD, MPI STATUS IGNORE);
    } else {
        MPI Recv(&number, 1, MPI INT, world size, 0, MPI COMM WORLD, MPI STATUS IGNORE);
} else {
    while(iTad() > 29){
        sleep(10);
    if(myid != 0)
       MPI Recv(&number, 1, MPI INT, myid-1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    } else {
        MPI Recv(&number, 1, MPI INT, world size, 0, MPI COMM WORLD, MPI STATUS IGNORE);
```

AUBURN

#### Implementations

We added iTad to Hadoop Heartbeat

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

SAMUEL GINN College of Engineering

#### HADOOP DISK ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Part 2

#### **Disk Energy**

- Disk drives varies in energy
- Disks can be a significant part of a server

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Scaling Server Disk #**

• With every added disk, hard drive energy plays a bigger role

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

SAMUEL GINN College of Engineering

### **Disk Dynamic Power**

- Disks tend to have different consumption modes
  - Active
  - Idle
  - Standby

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Hadoop Overview**

- Parallel Processing
  - Map Reduce
- Distributed Data

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Hadoop Benefits**

- Industry Standard
- Large Research Community
- I/O Heavy

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

SAMUEL GINN College of Engineering

### **Hadoop Architecture**

- Hadoop creates multiple replicas
- Metadata is managed on name node
- Nodes can have multiple disks

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Research Goal**

#### NAP – E(N)ergy (A)ware Disks for Hadoo(P)

- Built for high energy efficiency
- Designed for Hadoop clusters

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Setup

- 3-node cluster
- Each node identical
  - 4 disks
  - -4gb RAM
- Cloudera Hadoop
- Power meter

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Optimizations**

- We group disks together
   I/O Limits
  - More time for disks to sleep

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Naïve (Reactive) Algorithm

 Simply turn off all drive until needed

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Comparing the Algorithms**

#### Reactive

Predictive

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Reactive does worse than proactive
- Time increase low

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Block Size**

- Effects how HDFS stores files
- Effects how fast it processes

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **File Size**

- Effects how blocks are made
- Effect data locality

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Map vs. Reduce

- Map is more I/O intensive usually
- Reduce was usually shorter

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Map Heavy vs. Reduce Heavy

- Map Heavy is more I/O intensive
- Map and Reduce Heavy gets no gain

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **PRE-BUD Model**

 Prefetching Energy-Efficient Parallel I/O Systems with buffer Disk

 $E_S(\operatorname{block}(T_{ij})) = E_{WOP} - (E_{WPF} + E_{BUD}).$ 

$$E_{PF}(P, D) = E_{R,PF}(P, D) + E_{W,PF}(P, D)$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left( z_{k,i} \cdot P_{A,i} \cdot \left( t_{SK,k,i} + t_{RT,k,i} + \frac{s_{k,i}}{B_{R,i}} \right) \right)$   
+  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \left( z_{k,i} \cdot P_{A,0} \cdot \left( t_{SK,k,0} + t_{RT,k,0} + \frac{s_{k,i}}{B_{W,0}} \right) \right)$ 

## **NAP Energy Model**

- Find added energy by disks
- Group can either be standby or active
- Read and writes assumed same

$$E_{total} = E_{server} + E_{disks}$$

$$E_{disks} = \sum_{i=1}^{D/N} \frac{N}{D} E_{group} \sum_{i*\frac{D}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{D-N}{D} E_{standby_i} + E_{transitions_i}$$
$$E_{group_n} = \sum_{i=n}^{N+n} E_{active_i}$$
48

UNIVERSITY Samuel Ginn College of Engineering

#### **Energy Saving Simulation**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

AUBURN

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Summary

• iTad: a simple and practical way to estimate the temperature of a data node

 NAP: an energy-saving technique for disks in Hadoop clusters

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)