Mech 4240 Preliminary Design Review (PDR)

NASA Robotic Mining Competition Design Team - Corp 12

Spring 2014 Midterm

March 28th 2014

Matthew Jones - Team Manager

David Faucet - Wheel/Digging Lead and Scribe

Stewart Boyd – Storage/Deposition Lead

Will Flournoy – Prototyping/Test Engineer

Technical Advisor/Instructor: Dr. Beale

Sponsors: Dr. Madsen, Dr. Beale and Dr. Williams

Abstract

The purpose of Corporation 12's project is to develop an autonomous Martian mining device which will be used in the 2015 NASA Robotic Mining competition. As the 2015 competition rules have not been released, the 2014 rules will be used to determine functional requirements for the project.

NASA has held the robotic mining competition for several years now. This year, the focus has been switched from a lunar mission to an asteroid or Martian mission. As very little is known about these surfaces, the surface is assumed to be similar to the moon. Thus, Black Point 1 (BP-1), a crushed lava basalt, will be the soil used at the competition to simulate lunar regolith.

Through the use of a systems engineering approach, Corporation 12 has set out to develop a winning solution to solve the problem, exceed the sponsors' expectations and showcase Auburn University's Engineering Department. Through the utilization of system engineering tools such as the Vee Chart, a Gantt Chart and the 11 System Engineering Functions; a methodical approach has been used to develop the design.

A wheeled digging device with an auger dump was selected as the leading concept after watching film, conducting trade studies, and testing. This device utilizes scoops mounted on two of the robot's four wheels. As these wheels turn, the scoops pick up the BP-1. An inner wheel keeps the BP-1 from falling out until the scoops have reached the upper portion of the wheel. The BP-1 then slides down a shoot into the storage bin. To dump, the robot uses an auger attached to the bin. The wheel digger/auger robotic mining system provides an optimal solution that can be easily controlled for autonomous operation. As well, this design has not been seen at the competition so it provides a good chance to win the ingenuity award.

However, the main focus of this project is to win the on-site mining competition portion of the 2015 NASA Robotic Mining Competition. Upon researching the point breakdown, it became evident that the ability to autonomously control the robot is much more important than the dry weight of the robot or the amount the robot can dig. The current design is estimated to earn 1250 to 1300 points. In comparison, last year's winner had approximately 900 points.

The mechanical design on the robot will be completed by the end of April 2014. This finalized mechanical design will include a Technical Data Package (TDP). This TDP will contain a Bill of Materials (BOM), fully dimensioned mechanical drawings of all manufactured parts, necessary Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and updated technical resource budgets. At that time, a Critical Design Review (CDR) will be held. After sponsor approval of the final mechanical design, fabrication will begin. By the end of the summer, a non-autonomous prototype will be built and tested.

With the help of an electrical and/or software team this summer, the prototype will be built and tested. Manuals, testing procedures and other relevant information will be handed over to the 2015 NASA Robotic Mining Competition team once the prototype is validated and verified.

Table of Contents

Tables

Figures

1.0 Introduction

The primary objective of this project is to determine a winning design for the NASA 2015 Robotic Mining competition. A systems engineering approach was used to systematically develop a leading concept which, given customer approval, will be thoroughly designed, prototyped and tested.

Through research of the 2014 NASA Robotic Mining Competition rules, past designs and preliminary testing; a leading concept was developed that could exceed the minimum of 10 kg of Black Point-1 (BP-1) dug in 10 minutes, deposit the BP-1 into the competition storage bin and be easily controlled autonomously.

Due to the limited timeframe of this project, manufacturability was a significant concern to the design process. Thus, a modular design was chosen so that a change can be made in one subsystem without forcing a complete redesign of the system. As this project has a very expeditious timeline, a systems engineering approach was vital in that it provided a regimented approach to solve the problem. The 11 System Engineering Functions (as seen in Figure 1) were used to create the design, budget resources and provide ways to prove its functionality.



Figure 1: System Engineering Functions

2.0 Mission Objective

The objective of this project is to create the mechanical portion of an autonomous system weighing less than 80 kg capable of surviving/navigating terrain representative of the Martian surface in order to retrieve and deposit Regolith. This system should be able to collect and deposit a minimum of 10 kg of Regolith in 10 minutes. By the end of the summer, a non-autonomous version will be operational and tested. This prototype will then be handed off to the next group to be modified as needed to meet the 2015 NASA Robotic Mining Competition rules and participate in the 2015 competition.

3.0 Environment

The NASA Robotics Competition has been designed to simulate a Martian or asteroid surface. As the actual completion will be held on earth, certain aspects of the design will vary from an actual Martian device. One such example is that the estimated gravity of Mars is 3/8 that of the earth. Equipment for the competition does not have to be rated for Martian atmospheric conditions. However, physical processes should be capable of being used in space. Since the competition will be at the Kennedy Space Center, the components must be capable of storage and operation in an average of 90 degrees Fahrenheit and high levels of humidity.

As not much information is known about the actual Martian soil, the soil has been assumed to be similar to lunar regolith. The soil in the competition will be Black Point 1 (BP-1) which is a noncommercially available crushed lava basalt. The BP-1 is an abrasive powder-like soil that is very similar to the regolith on the Earth's moon. The BP-1 also has some magnetic characteristics.

The actual competition will be inside an enclosed room with two pits side by side as shown in Figure 2. Throughout the competition, dust should be expected from either robot and must be taken into account.

The BP1 in the competition will have a density of approximately $0.75 \mathrm{g/cm^3}$ for the top 2 cm and between $1.5 \mathrm{g/cm^3}$ to $1.8\ \mathrm{g/cm^3}$ below. The mining area will be 3.78 m (width) x 2.94 m (length) x 0.5 m (depth). The coefficient of friction is not well known.



Figure 2: Competition Pit Dimensions

4.0 <u>Project Management</u>

The NASA robotics team has been divided into two separate groups for an internal competition to determine the best concept. Corporation 12 is a four member group of the original 8 person team. Corp 12 is managed by Matthew Jones. David Faucet is lead designer for the wheel/digging device. Stewart Boyd is the lead storage/deposition designer. Will Flournoy is the testing/prototype engineer.

Upon the Preliminary Design Review, the other four members of the team will reunite with the current group. Provided Corporation 12's concept is chosen, design will continue on the wheeled digging device and a CDR will be scheduled in late April. By the end of July 2014, a working non-autonomous prototype will be built and tested. For a full timeline, refer to Appendix B: Gantt Chart.

Configuration management will managed by storing information on Dropbox. Before the PDR and CDR, a full set of relevant information will be saved in a file for storage. After the CDR design has been established, revisions will be documented on a revision spreadsheet and drawings will be documented accordingly.

5.0 Requirements

The proposed system must adhere to the rules as specified in "NASA's Fifth Annual Robotic Mining Competition Rules and Rubrics 2014" as specified in Appendix A. This system must originally fit in a volume of 1.5 m (length) x 0.75 m (width) x 0.75 m (height). After the start of the competition, the height can be extended up to 1.5 m. The system must be able to deposit the regolith into the top of the collection system 0.5 m above the regolith's surface. The dry weight of the robot must weight 80 kg or less.

The robot will be randomly orientated in the start zone shown in Figure 1 before each run of the competition. Then, the robot must traverse the obstacle area which will include three obstacles up to 30 cm in diameter and 10 kg in mass. As well, this area will have two craters up to 30 cm in depth and diameter. The robot must not "excavate" BP-1 until crossing the line into the mining area. Per the definition section of the competition rules (Appendix A), the excavated mass is defined as:

> *Excavated mass – Mass of the excavated BP-1 deposited to the Collector bin by the team's mining robot during each competition attempt, measured in kilograms (kg) with official result recorded to the nearest one tenth of a kilogram (0.1 kg).*

The robotic device must mine a minimum of 10 kg in the 10 minute competition run to qualify. Teams will have two 10 minute runs in the competition. The average of the two runs will be the final score for the on-site mining portion of the competition. During each of the competition runs, the robot must be controlled remotely and/or be autonomous in function. The robot must also be capable of wired control for practice runs.

The design of the robot must be formulated in such a way to win the 2015 NASA Robotics Competition. As the 2014 rules indicate, the point breakdown for the on-site mining award has been documented in Table 1.

Table 1: Onsite Mining Competition Points

Element	Points
Pass Safety and Comm. Check	1000
BP-1 Excavated over 10kg	+3 per kg
Robot Weight	-8 per kg
Dust Tolerant Design	0-30 (Judge's discretion)
Dust Free Operation	0-70 (Judge's discretion)
Autonomous Operation	0, 50, 150, 250 or 500
Average Bandwidth	-1 per 50 kb/sec
Energy Consumption Reported	0 or 20

Autonomy has been divided up into sections based on the level of functions performed autonomously. Fifty points will be given for crossing the obstacle field. One hundred and fifty will be given for crossing and digging. Two hundred fifty will be rewarded for one full run including deposit. Five hundred will be rewarded for a full ten minute autonomous run.

As can be seen in Appendix A, the Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence (grand prize) is made up of several other categories including a presentation, systems engineering paper, team spirit and community involvement. As the current design team will not be attending the 2015 competition, the focus of this project will be on the on-site mining portion of the competition.

6.0 Architectural Design

After the competition rules were thoroughly examined, conceptual design began. The first steps were to performing trade studies on the previous competitions and comparing the leading competitors' designs with the current Auburn robot.

6.1 Trade Studies

Trade studies were completed by first watching several hours of YouTube videos of previous competitions. The past two competition years, Iowa State University won the on-sight mining award. The 2013 Iowa State University robot can be seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Iowa State University 2013 Robot

Upon the close examination of the Iowa State design, it was noticed that the tracks appeared to slow the robot down. Likewise, the fact that the collecting bin had to be raised to dump out the BP-1 caused a change in the center of gravity and made it prone to flip. The 2013 team attempted an autonomous run but was unable to complete it.

From examination of other teams, it became apparent that wide wheels helped the robot stay above the surface and thus improved mobility. NYU-Poly's 2012 robot was also analyzed due to its unusual front wheel and digging scoop designs. These front wheels used scoops to provide traction for the robot. The digging mechanism was a revolving drum with scoops that collected regolith.

Figure 4: NYU-Poly 2012 Robot

Teams with revolving mining systems such as the conveyor seen in Figure 3 or the drum as seen on Figure 4 had better digging rates than traditional scoop designs. The drum designs however took a long time to dump.

The current Auburn robot as seen in Figure 5 was also examined. The Auburn robot has a single bucket and narrow wheels. Thus, after watching several hours of competition video, this design was quickly determined to not be an optimal solution.



Figure 5: Current Auburn Robot

It was noticed that in general, teams that incorporated moving bins tended to lose stability. On the other hand, teams that incorporated a conveyor or auger system had slower dumps but were able maximize stability. As the competition runs are averaged together, a robot prone to flipping was highly undesirable. Upon examination, one of the teams that used an auger was the University of North Dakota. Thus, the UND auger (Figure 6) was examined.

Figure 6: UND 2010 Auger

6.2 Decomposition

After a general trade study over old designs was completed, a functional decomposition was performed to look at each individual function and determine what factors would have a major impact on each function.

- Carry Dirt
    - Cannot tip
    - Support dirt weight
    - No spillage/low dust generation
- Dig Dirt
    - Target time for digging
    - Repeatability
    - Low dust generation
    - Placing dirt in carrying receptacle
- Mobility
    - Motion in cardinal direction (forward/reverse, left right)
    - Obstacle avoidance/survivability
    - Carry dirt load
    - Low dust generation
- Dump dirt
    - Hit target receptacle
    - Low dust
- Structural Support
    - Hold everything together
    - House “fragile” components
        - Prevent dust penetration
    - Lightweight
    - Robust

The design was then divided up into multiple subsystems including digging, drivetrain/steering, storage/dumping, electrical and communication systems. For the digging system, the following mechanisms were considered:

Scoop
Backhoe
Clamping jaw
Conveyer driven scoops
180 degree scraping
Vacuum
Drum scoop
Bucket wheel excavator
Bottom mount scoop
Electromagnetic
Auger.

For the drivetrain/steering system, the following mechanisms were considered:

Tracks
4 legs
4 wheels/4 motors
6 wheels
3 wheels
4 wheels/2 motors
Multi-leg (centipede).

For the Storage/Dumping systems, the following mechanisms were considered:

Auger
Dump truck bucket
Conveyor belt
Shovel/mechanical push
Drum scoop.

6.3 Concept Generation

With the domain knowledge gained from the trade studies, evaluation on the practicality of designs and the estimated weight to digging capacity of designs; a few main concepts were developed. The first was a conveyor digger/dumping system as seen in Figure 7. Concept 1 was attractive because it utilized an on-off control system and could be run very quickly to dig and dump. However, this concept has a lot of moving parts and the dual conveyors add weight. This design or portions of it, have been used by many past competition teams.



Figure 7: Concept 1 Dual Conveyor

Another concept was a bucket wheel connected to a conveyor with a dumping bucket as shown in Figure 8. Concept 2 used two strong scoop mechanisms that dumped onto lightweight conveyor in between to which transports the regolith to the bin. This design allowed for different motor sizes on the scoop wheels and conveyor which allowed for lower weight and faster digging. The dump bucket would be quick but transferred the center of gravity making the system less stable. Another issue was the complexity of the scoop and conveyor system.



Figure 8: Concept 2 Bucket Scoop Conveyor Dump

A final concept was a digging device employing scoops on the wheel (Figure 9). Once the scoops dug up the dirt, the dirt would be channeled down a shoot into a bin and then an auger would deposit into the competition bin. This design cut down on possibility of the digging system of not working. As two of the wheels would dig, if one were to jam the system could still work. As well, only one additional motor (other than the four wheel motors) has to be used for the auger verses two for the other designs. A complication of this design was the fact that the device cannot excavate before reaching the mining area. A method to close off the shoot to the collection bin must be used to adhere to the rules. Likewise, the wheels would need to be strengthened adding some weight.



Figure 9: Concept 3 Wheel Digger to Auger

Given the digging ability, originality and robustness of the design; Concept 3 was chosen for further development. Several technical issues arose and thus were tested with prototypes. Concepts 1 and 2 were retained for a final leading concept determination after the preliminary testing on Concept 3 was finished.

6.4 Testing/Prototypes

In order to determine a leading concept, multiple tests were run. One test was conducted to determine what minimum angle is required for regolith to slide down an inclined plane. A prototype wheel/scoop assembly was created and tested as a proof of concept. This prototype also helped to optimize scoop geometry and power requirements. A third test was used to evaluate the effectiveness of an auger as a means of moving sand.

6.4.1 Slip Test

The Concept 3 utilized angled shoots to transport the BP-1 that was being collected from the wheels to the carrying bin. For this system to work properly the shoots needed to be at a large enough angle such that the BP-1 would side down. To determine this minimum angle, a slip test was done using sand as a BP-1 alternative. Damp and dry samples of sand were tested but it was determined that the difference was fairly negligible. In the dynamic tests, the wet samples tended to fall at very low angles so these results were thrown out. The density of both the damp and dry sands were both very near to 1400 kg/m^3. As the compacted BP-1 specification was close to this value, sand provided a reasonable approximation for this test. These samples of sand were tested on various materials under consideration for the shoots.

There were two main types of test carried out for every material. A static test where a volume of sand that was representative of the amount of BP-1 that one scoop should be able to gather was first placed in a linear fashion across the material (much as the scoop would dump it) and then the material was slowly raised until almost all of the sand pile slid down. The second test was dynamic, where the material was held at some initial angle then a volume of sand was dropped down from a height representative of where the scoops would be dropping from, onto the material. The initial angle was adjusted until all the sand that was dropped would freely slide down the material. Figure 10 is representative of the two test that were carried out. Results from the test are listed in Table 2.



Figure 10: Slip Test

As can be seen in Table 2, the results from the slip angle tests showed that a minimum shoot angle of 30° to ensure that the BP-1 would flow freely.

Table 2: Slip Test Results

Test Type		Material				
		Carbon Fiber (Smooth)	Carbon Fiber (Rough)	Plastic	Steel	Aluminum
Static slip Angle (deg)	Damp	30	35	30	25	30
	Dry	25-30	35	30	25	30
Dynamic Slip Angle (deg)	Dry	20	30	25	25	25

6.4.2 Scoop Test

The prototype was created to determine the torque required to turn the wheel, for motor sizing, optimizing scoop parameters, and determining whether or not the wheel would gather dirt. Tests were carried out using the prototype to simulate the wheel digging in order to evaluate how well the scoops were gathering dirt. The tests helped determine the optimal entry angle and the height of the scoop above the wheel. For testing, weight was added to the wheel to simulate the weight of the robot that would be acting on it. From the CAD model, it was determined that the complete robot would weigh roughly 100 lbs, so it was estimated that each axle would see 25 lbs acting on it. This was accomplished by placing weight on the pivoting axle. Figure 11 shows the scoop design that tested as well as the parameters that were varied.



Figure 11: Scoop Design Testing

After testing several configurations of height above the wheel and entry angles for the scoop, an entry angle of 30° and height above the wheel of 1 ¼ in. was found to be the optimal configuration for gathering dirt without requiring a ridiculous amount of torque to turn the wheel. The actual torque required was measured using the wheel prototype and will be discussed below.

6.4.3 Wheel Prototype

Using the optimized scoop design determined from the scoop test, the wheel prototype was set up to enable measurement of the torque required to turn it when it was digging. The test was set up as seen in Figure 12. This configuration allowed us to place an analog torque wrench on the outer wheel axle and measure the torque as the wheel turned.

Figure 12: Wheel Torque Test

The results from the test showed that if the wheel was rolling across the surface while digging it required 5-8 lb-ft to turn the wheel. If the wheel was stationary, i.e slipping on the surface, the wheel required 10 lb-ft of torque to turn.

6.4.4 Auger Test

From the trade study, information found on UND's 2010 auger based system proved it was possible to move an extensive amount of sand using an auger. An auger was tested to further prove the validity of the concept. The auger was tested using wet sand to determine the general effectiveness of an auger at transporting particulate. Like in many of the other tests, wet sand was chosen as it has a similar density to packed BP-1 and its tendency to clump makes it a worst case scenario. It is important to note that the auger used in the test was not optimized for what is going to be used on the robot as it had a hollow core. Testing revealed that the particular auger that was tested was able to move 7.9 kg of sand in 52 seconds. From the trade study and testing, it was concluded that the auger design could accomplish the task of moving the regolith in an accurate and timely manner.

Figure 13: Auger Test

6.5 Leading Concept

Using the decision matrix seen below Table 3, the wheeled digging device was chosen as the leading concept. This device will have the ability to be easily controlled autonomously as every system can be controlled with a simple on/off controller.

Table 3: Decision Matrix

	Weight (- high)	Digging Capacity	Manuverability	Ease of Use	Manufactorability	Dust Generation	Originality	Total
Concept 1: Dual Conveyor	-	+	0	+	0	+	-	1
Concept 2: Bucket Scoop Conveyor	-	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
Concept 3: Wheel Digger to Auger	0	0	+	+	-	0	+	2
Exsisting Design: Front End Digger	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-1

Rank	Points
-	-1
0	0
+	1

As well, this wheel based digging design has not yet been seen in the NASA competition so it will help to win the ingenuity award. This design was proven to be feasible through the testing and prototypes built as can be seen in Section 7.

A 3D model of the design has been made using SolidWorks; a Computer Aided Design (CAD) software. The design has been split into separate design groups for further definition of the wheel/digging device and the storage/dumping device. A complete design for the wheel/digging subsystem and storage dumping subsystems will be prepared before the CDR. The electrical and communications subsystems will be designed to such a point that a non-autonomous prototype can be tested by the end of the summer.

7.0 Subsystem Design

As previously stated, once the leading concept was chosen, design groups were chosen for the wheel/digging and storage/dumping subsystems. David Faucet was appointed lead on the wheel/digging subsystem. Stewart Boyd was appointed lead of the storage/dumping subsystem.

7.1 Wheels/Digging

To reduce weight, mechanical complexity, and driving components a decision was made to combine the digging and propulsion systems into one. This dual system allows the regolith to be gathered by the wheels while also allowing the robot to move. This was accomplished by having scoops attached to the exterior of the wheels. As the wheels rotate regolith will be picked up and carried to the top of the wheel and then deposited into a chute that leads to the carrying bin. The complete wheel concept is shown in Figure 14 and an exploded view with the main components labeled is shown in Figure 15.



Figure 14: Wheel Concept



Figure 15: Exploded Wheel View

A chute was placed at the top of the wheel that had an actuator induced plate that can pivot forwards and backwards in order to be able to control whether or not the regolith is harvested. The actuator controls whether the regolith is being deposited into the bin or back to the environment and is shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16: Shoot Concept

The wheel is driven by a single electric motor mounted to the inside of the wheel's fixed frame and attached to the drive axle through a chain and sprocket set as shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17: Motor Mount Concept

To keep the chain and sprockets from being contaminated with regolith, a guard was designed to enclose the chain and sprocket system this has been shown in Figure 17 where the chain guard is see through.

There were jamming concerns with the way the scoops slid on the guide as the BP-1 was carried to the top of the wheel. In order to minimize the amount of BP-1 that was lost during this process a rubber guard was implemented on the underside of the scoop. This would also allow excess BP-1 or rocks from the scoop a way to squeeze under the scoop and fall back to the ground without causing the wheel to jam. This is shown in Figure 18.



Figure 18: Scoop Design

7.2 Storage/Dumping

Previous designs from the trade study and data collected from the tests were taken into account when designing the storage and dumping system. Many teams that employed a dump truck approach to store and dump the regolith had problems with tipping over either while transporting the regolith or attempting to dump it into the target bin. The dump truck approach also led to teams, despite managing to successfully raise the bin, missing the target bin either completely or partially. Furthermore, it was decided that the number of moving parts required to operate the design needed to be kept at a minimum. Therefore the design with a stationary storage bin with an auger conveyor system was selected shown in Figure 19 was selected. The stationary bin ensures that the center of gravity of the robotic miner remains relatively unchanged during mining, traveling, and dumping operations. The auger conveyor system minimizes the risk of missing the target bin as well as cuts down on the number of moving parts needed to operate the robotic miner.



Figure 19: Storage/Dumping Assembly

The bin was designed to have no angles that are less than 30° and is shaped to funnel the regolith down to a central opening. This opening will allow the regolith to fall into the intake for the auger conveyor system (shown in detail in Figure 20).



Figure 20: Auger Conveyor Subsystem

The auger conveyor subsystem will consist of a large screw encased in a tube that will be slightly larger than the thread diameter of the screw. Regolith will be lifted towards target bin as it fills the intake and the auger is turned. As can be seen from Figure 20**,** the screw will be supported by two hangers and bearings at the ends of the auger. These bearings are completely encased and protected from dust. A gear will be mounted to the center axle of the auger just past the final hanger and bearing. This gear will in turn be driven by an electric motor mounted on the outside of the tube.

7.3 Motor

The IG52-04 24VDC 010 RPM Gear Motor was selected to be used as the motor for all four wheels. This motor is a brushed permanent magnet DC motor with variable speeds and reversibility. It also comes with a planetary gear box that has a 1:353 reduction ratio and steel gears. The high gear reduction increases the rated output torque to 9.8 N-m which provides a factor of safety of 2 based on the results found from the prototype test. Other benefits of this motor are its low weight, compact size, and proven track record on other all-terrain robots.

7.4 Electrical

The electrical subsystem has not been fully analyzed. Each of the four wheels will be powered by the IG52-04 motors. Likewise, communication equipment will also need to be powered. Currently, a single motorcycle battery has been used for the system as other teams have used similar batteries. The battery will be placed on the lower front portion of the robot to help position the robot's weight towards the digging wheels. The rest of the electrical components will be housed in boxes on either side of the auger. More will be known at the CDR.



Figure 21: Full System with Electrical Components

7.5 Communication

The communication systems have currently not been analyzed. As autonomy is a priority, special attention was made to make sure many mounting locations would be present. The robot will utilize a National Instruments myRIO device to control the new prototype's motors, actuators and autonomous sensors. More information will be known at the CDR.

8.0 Interfaces

The interface between the wheel design and the storage bin is critical to the functionality of the robot's design. Any change between these interfaces must be approved by both of the lead designers and the team manager. Other interfaces of interest are the electrical and communications systems. Special attention must be taken to keep these areas dust free.

9.0 Validation/Verification

Each component and subsystem will be validated independently before being integrated into the next highest level of assembly. Manufactured components will be checked against their respective drawings by a member of the team that was not involved in their production. Each subsystem lead is required to provide documentation that their design meets the subsystems requirements and develop a plan of implementation onto the next highest assembly before a subsystem will be considered ready for next higher assembly (NHA). These required documents must be presented to the testing/prototype engineer for approval before and after the testing is completed.

Once a non-autonomous prototype is completed, the system will be validated by showing that it meets all of the overall drawing dimensions and will be tested to verify the systems are working together properly. Then, then full system will be verified through a series of field tests designed to test functions such as driving, digging and dumping as defined by the testing/prototype engineer.

10.0 Economic Analysis

A first pass budget was formed with the help of a BOM as shown in Appendix H. The estimated total cost of materials for the project is $3000. This does not include tooling. A complete BOM will be prepared for CDR.

11.0 Technical Resource Budget Tracking

Power and weight will be commodities in the design. Estimated amounts of each were determined as follows:

11.1 Power

With the motor that was selected, an estimation of the power required to make two ten minute runs was estimated by assuming that all motors would run on a continuous high setting through both runs. This gives a safe estimation of what we will need to be able to supply with the battery, since in an actual run all motors will not be continuously running.

Table 4: Power Breakdown

Power	Component	Watt-hr
24 V	Motor x 5	320
24 V	Auger Motor	80
	Total	400

11.2 Weight

The weight of the robot will be approximately 40kg. A general breakdown of weights can be seen below in Table 5. A more precise weight will be determined before CDR.

Table 5: Weight Breakdown

Subsystem	Component	Weight per (kg)	QTY	Weight (kg)
Wheel	Motor	2.09	4	8.36
	Digging Wheel	6.00	2	12.00
	Rear Wheel	1.66	2	3.32
Chassis	Main Frame	1.87	1	1.87
Electrical	Battery	4.76	1	4.76
	Electronics	2.27	1	2.27
Auger	Motor	2.09	1	2.09
	Auger	2.96	1	2.96
	Bin	2.00	1	2.00
			Total	39.63

12.0 Risk Management

Potential issues that could arise have been noted and ranked in Appendix D. As design continues, these issues will be more thoroughly addressed. More information will be known at

CDR. Solutions to these issues will be in the form of design, testing or inspection. The technical manual on the prototype (produced next semester) will define acceptable solutions/plans of action for detecting/troubleshooting each problem.

13.0 Conclusions

Through careful examination and testing, the wheeled digging device was determined to be the optimum solution to win the 2015 NASA Robotic Mining Competition. Systems engineering tools such as the Vee Chart and 11 System Engineering Functions helped to track progress and ensure proper care was used during the design process.

At this point in time, a preliminary design has been developed. Subsystem design work has begun as well. While many of the potential issues still remain, these challenges will be resolved through further design work should Corp. 12's design concept be chosen.

Using the wheeled digging device an auger system, an estimated 1276 points can be earned per run. This value is much higher than the last year's winner which was just above 900 points. Appendices F and G were used to determine a general point breakdown. As autonomy is one of the main sources of points, special attention was taken to ensure the system was designed in such a way to maximize the usage of on/off processes.

A CDR will be held in approximately a month. At this CDR, a TDP will be delivered. Fabrication will then commence and a working non-autonomous prototype will be created and tested by the end of the summer. A suggested timeline can be seen in the Gantt Chart (Appendix B).

Appendix A: 2014 NASA Competition Rules

NASA's Fifth Annual Robotic Mining Competition

Rules & Rubrics 2014

Kennedy Space Center, Florida

Introduction

NASA's Fifth Annual NASA Robotic Mining Competition is for university-level students to design and build a mining robot that can traverse the simulated Martian chaotic terrain, excavate Martian regolith and deposit the regolith into a Collector Bin within 10 minutes. There is particular relevance to NASA's recently announced mission to find an asteroid by 2016 and then bring it to Cis-Lunar space. The technology concepts developed by the university teams for this competition conceivably could be used to mine resources on Asteroids as well as Mars. NASA will directly benefit from the competition by encouraging the development of innovative excavation concepts from universities which may result in clever ideas and solutions which could be applied to an actual excavation device or payload. The unique physical properties of basaltic regolith and the reduced $3/8^{th}$ gravity make excavation a difficult technical challenge. Advances in Martian mining have the potential to significantly contribute to our nation's space vision and NASA space exploration operations.

The complexities of the challenge include the abrasive characteristics of the basaltic regolith simulant, the weight and size of the limitations of the mining robot, and the ability to control it from a remote control center. The scoring for the mining category will require teams to consider a number of design and operation factors such as dust tolerance and projection, communications, vehicle mass, energy/power required, and autonomy.

The competition will be conducted by NASA at the Kennedy Space Center. The teams that can use telerobotic or autonomous operation to excavate the basaltic regolith simulant, called Black Point-1 or BP-1, and score the most points wins the Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence. The team will receive the Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence trophy, KSC launch invitations, team certificates for each member, and a $5,000 team scholarship. Awards for other categories include monetary team scholarships, a school trophy or plaque, team and individual certificates, and KSC launch invitations.

Undergraduate and graduate student teams enrolled in a U.S. college or university are eligible to enter the Robotic Mining Competition. Design teams must include: at least one faculty with a college or university and at least two undergraduate or graduate students. NASA has not set an upper limit on team members. A team should have a sufficient number of members to successfully operate their mining robot. Teams will compete in up to five major competition categories including: on-site mining, systems engineering paper, outreach project, slide presentation and demonstration (optional), and team spirit (optional).

The NASA Robotic Mining Competition is a student competition that will be conducted in a positive, professional way. This is a reminder to be courteous in all your correspondence and all interactions on-site at the competition. Unprofessional behavior or unsportsmanlike conduct will not be tolerated and will be grounds for disqualification. The frequently asked questions (FAQ) document is updated regularly and is considered part of this document. It is the responsibility of the teams to read, understand, and abide by all of NASA's Fifth Annual Robotic Mining Competition Rules and Rubrics, stay updated with new FAQs, communicate with NASA's representatives, and complete all surveys. These rules and rubrics are subject to future updates by NASA at its sole discretion.

For more information, visit the NASA Robotic Mining Competition on the Web at http://www.nasa.gov/offices/education/centers/kennedy/technology/nasarmc.html and follow the NASA Robotic Mining Competition on Twitter at https://twitter.com/NASARMC.

On-Site Mining Category Rules

The scoring for the Mining Category will require teams to consider a number of design and operation factors such as dust tolerance and projection, communications, vehicle mass, energy/power required, and autonomy. Each team must compete on-site at the Kennedy Space Center, Florida on May 19-23, 2014. A minimum amount of 10 kg of BP-1 must be mined and deposited during either of two competition attempts according to the rules to qualify to win in this category. If the minimum amount of 10 kg of BP-1 is not met for an attempt, then the total score for that attempt will be 0. In the case of a tie, the teams will compete in a tie-breaking competition attempt. The judges' decisions are final in all disputes. The teams with the first, second, and third most Mining points averaged from both attempts will receive team plaques, individual team certificates, KSC launch invitations, $3,000, $2,000, and $1,000 scholarships and 25, 20, and 15 points toward the Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence, respectively. Teams not winning first, second, or third place in the mining category can earn one bonus point for each kilogram of BP-1 mined and deposited up to a maximum average of ten points toward the Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence. The most innovative design will receive the Judges' Innovation Award at the discretion of the mining judges.

1) Teams must arrive at the Robotic Mining Competition Check-In Tent in Parking Lot 4 of the Kennedy Space Center no later than 3:00 p.m. on Monday, May 19, 2014; but teams are encouraged to arrive earlier.
2) Teams will be required to perform two official competition attempts using BP-1 in the Caterpillar Mining Arena. NASA will fill the Caterpillar Mining Arena with compacted BP-1 that matches as closely as possible to basaltic Martian regolith. NASA will randomly place three obstacles and create two craters on each side of the Caterpillar Mining Arena. Each competition attempt will occur with two teams competing at the same time, one on each side of the Caterpillar Mining Arena. After each competition attempt, the obstacles will be removed, the BP-1 will be returned to a compacted state, if necessary, and the obstacles and craters will be returned to the Caterpillar Mining Arena. The order of teams for the competition attempts will be chosen at NASA's discretion. See Diagrams 1 and 2.
3) In each of the two official competition attempts, the teams will score cumulative Mining Points. See Table 1 for the Mining Category Scoring Example. The teams' ranking Mining Points will be the average of their two competition attempts.
    A) Each team will be awarded 1000 Mining points after passing the safety inspection and communications check.
    B) During each competition attempt, the team will earn 3 Mining points for each kilogram in excess of 10 kg of BP-1 deposited in the Collector Bin. (For example, 110 kg of BP-1 mined will earn 300 Mining points.)
    C) During each competition attempt, the team will lose 1 Mining Point for each 50 kilobits/second (kb/sec) of average data used throughout each competition attempt.
    D) During each competition attempt, the team will lose 8 Mining points for each kilogram of total mining robot mass. (For example, a mining robot that weighs 80 kg will lose 640 Mining points.)
    E) During each competition attempt, the team will earn 20 Mining points if the amount of energy consumed by the mining robot during the competition attempt is reported to the judges after each attempt. The amount of energy consumed will not be used for scoring; a team must only provide a legitimate method of measuring the energy consumed and be able to explain the method to the judges.
    F) During each competition attempt, the judges will award the team 0 to 100 Mining points for dust tolerant design features on the mining robot (up to 30 Mining points) and dust free operation (up to 70 Mining points). If the mining robot has exposed mechanisms where dust could accumulate during a Martian mission and degrade the performance or lifetime of the mechanisms, then fewer Mining points will be awarded in this category. If the mining robot raises a substantial amount of airborne dust or projects it due to its operations, then fewer Mining points will be awarded. Ideally, the mining robot will operate in a clean manner without dust projection, and all mechanisms and moving parts will be protected from dust intrusion. The mining robot will not be penalized for airborne dust while dumping into the Collector Bin. All decisions by the judges regarding dust tolerance and dust projection are final.

The 30 points for dust-tolerant design will be broken down in the following way:

1. Drive train components enclosed/protected and other component selection – 10 points
2. Custom dust sealing features (bellows, seals, etc.) –10 points
3. Active dust control (brushing, electrostatics, etc.) – 10 points

The 70 points for dust-free operation will be broken down in the following way:

1. Driving without dusting up crushed basalt – 20 points
2. Digging without dusting up crushed basalt – 30 points
3. Transferring crushed basalt without dumping the crushed basalt on your own Robot – 20 points

G) During each competition attempt, the team will earn up to 500 Mining points for autonomous operations. Mining points will be awarded for successfully completing the following activities autonomously:

1. Successfully crossing the obstacle field: 50 pts
2. Successfully crossing the obstacle field and excavating: 150 pts
3. Successfully crossing the obstacle field, excavating and depositing regolith, 1 time: 250 pts
4. Successful fully autonomous run for 10 minutes: 500 pts

For a team to earn mining points in the autonomous category, the team cannot touch the controls during the autonomous period. If the team touches the controls then the autonomy period for that run is over; however, the team may revert to manual control to complete that run. Start and stop commands are allowed at the beginning and end of the autonomous period. Orientation data cannot be transmitted to the mining robot in the autonomous period. Telemetry to monitor the health of the mining robot is allowed during the autonomous period. The mining robot must continue to operate for the entire 10 minutes to qualify for a fully autonomous run.

The teams with the first, second, and third most Autonomous points averaged from both attempts will receive the Caterpillar Autonomy Award and $1,500, $750, and $250 team scholarships respectively. Points will count toward the Caterpillar Autonomy Award even if no regolith is deposited. In the case of a tie, the team that deposits the most regolith will win. If no regolith deposited in the case of a tie, the judges will choose the winner. The judges' decision is final.

Mining Category Elements	Specific Points	Actual	Units	Mining points
Pass Inspections				1000
BP-1 over 10 kg	+3/kg	110	kg	+300
Average Bandwidth	-1/50kb/sec	5000	kb/sec	-100
Mining Robot Mass	-8/kg	80	kg	-640
Report Energy Consumed	+20	1	1= Achieved 0= Not Achieved	+20
Dust Tolerant Design (30%) & Dust Free Operation (70%)	0 to +100	70	Judges' Decision	+70
Autonomy	50, 150, 250 or 500	150		+150
Total				800

Table 1: Mining Category Scoring Example

4) All excavated mass deposited in the Collector Bin during each official competition attempt will be weighed after the completion of each competition attempt.

5) The mining robot will be placed in the randomly selected starting positions. See Diagrams 1 and 2.

6) A team's mining robot may only excavate BP-1 located in that team's respective mining area at the opposite end of the Caterpillar Mining Arena from the team's starting area. The team's starting direction will be randomly selected immediately before the competition attempt. Mining is allowed as soon as the mining line is crossed.

7) The mining robot is required to move across the obstacle area to the mining area and then move back to the Collector Bin to deposit the BP-1 into the Collector Bin. See Diagrams 1 and 2.

8) Each team is responsible for placement and removal of their mining robot onto the BP-1 surface. There must be one person per 23 kg of mass of the mining robot, requiring four people to carry the maximum allowed mass. Assistance will be provided if needed.

9) Each team is allotted a maximum of 10 minutes to place the mining robot in its designated starting position within the Caterpillar Mining Arena and 5 minutes to remove the mining robot from the Caterpillar Mining Arena after the 10-minute competition attempt has concluded.

10) The mining robot operates during the 10-minute time limit of each competition attempt. The competition attempts for both teams in the Caterpillar Mining Arena will begin and end at the same time.

11) The mining robot will end operation immediately when the power-off command is sent, as instructed by the competition judges.

12) The mining robot cannot be anchored to the BP-1 surface prior to the beginning of each competition attempt.

13) The mining robot will be inspected during the practice days and right before each competition attempt. Teams will be permitted to repair or otherwise modify their mining robots anytime the Pits are open.

14) At the start of each competition attempt, the mining robot may not occupy any location outside the defined starting position in the Caterpillar Mining Arena. See Caterpillar Mining Arena definition for description of the competition field.

15) The Collector Bin top edge will be placed so that it is adjacent to the side walls of the Caterpillar Mining Arena without a gap and the height will be approximately 0.5 meter from the top of the BP-1 surface directly below it. The Collector bin top opening will be 1.65 meters long and .48 meters wide. See Diagrams 1 – 3. A target(s) or beacon(s) may be attached to the Collector Bin for navigation purposes only. This navigational aid system must be attached during the setup time and removed afterwards during the removal time period. If attached to the Collector Bin, it must not exceed the width of the Collector Bin and it must not weigh over 9 kg. The mass of the navigational aid system is included in the maximum mining robot mass limit of 80.0 kg and must be self-powered. The target/beacon may send a signal or light beam but lasers are not allowed for safety reasons except for Visible Class I or II lasers or low power lasers and laser based detection systems. Supporting documentation from the laser instrumentation vendor must be given to the inspection judge for "eye-safe" lasers. The Judges will inspect and verify that all laser devices are a class I or II product and they have not been modified (optics or power). Any objects placed on the Collector Bin cannot be more than 0.75 m above the BP-1 surface, and cannot be permanently attached or cause alterations (ie. no drilling, nails, etc).

16) There will be three obstacles placed on top of the compressed BP-1 surface within the obstacle area before each competition attempt is made. The placement of the obstacles will be randomly selected before the start of the competition. Each obstacle will have a diameter of approximately 10 to 30 cm and an approximate mass of 3 to 10 kg. There will be two craters of varying depth and width, being no wider or deeper than 30 cm. No obstacles will be intentionally buried in the BP-1 by NASA, however, BP-1 includes naturally occurring rocks.

17) The mining robot must operate within the Caterpillar Mining Arena: it is not permitted to pass beyond the confines of the outside wall of the Caterpillar Mining Arena and the Collector bin during each competition attempt. The BP-1 must be mined in the mining area and deposited in the Collector bin. A team that excavates any BP-1 from the starting or obstacle areas will be disqualified. The BP-1 must be carried from the mining area to the Collector bin by any means and be deposited in the Collector bin in its raw state. A secondary container like a bag or box may not be deposited inside the Collector bin. Depositing a

container in the Collector bin will result in disqualification of the team. The mining robot can separate intentionally, if desired, but all parts of the mining robot must be under the team's control at all times. Any ramming of the wall may result in a safety disqualification at the discretion of the judges. The walls may be used for the purposes of mapping autonomous navigation and collision avoidance. Touching or having a switch sensor springwire that may brush on a wall as a collision avoidance sensor is allowed.

18) The mining robot must not use the wall as support or push/scoop BP-1 up against the wall to accumulate BP-1. If the mining robot exposes the Caterpillar Mining Arena bottom due to excavation, touching the bottom is permitted, but contact with the Caterpillar Mining Arena bottom or walls cannot be used at any time as a required support to the mining robot. Teams should be prepared for airborne dust raised by either team during each competition attempt.

19) During each competition attempt, the mining robot is limited to autonomous and telerobotic operations only. No physical access to the mining robot will be allowed during each competition attempt. In addition, telerobotic operators are only allowed to use data and video originating from the mining robot and the NASA video monitors. Visual and auditory isolation of the telerobotic operators from the mining robot in the Mission Control Center is required during each competition attempt. Telerobotic operators will be able to observe the Caterpillar Mining Arena through overhead cameras in the Caterpillar Mining Arena via monitors that will be provided by NASA in the Mission Control Center. These color monitors should be used for situational awareness only. No other outside communication via cell phones, radios, other team members, etc. is allowed in the Mission Control Center once each competition attempt begins. During the 10 minute setup period, a handheld radio link will be provided between the Mission Control Center team members and team members setting up the mining robot in the Caterpillar Mining Arena to facilitate voice communications during the setup phase only.

20) The mining robot mass is limited to a maximum of 80.0 kg. Subsystems on the mining robot used to transmit commands/data and video to the telerobotic operators are counted toward the 80.0 kg mass limit. Equipment not on the mining robot used to receive data from and send commands to the mining robot for telerobotic operations is excluded from the 80.0 kg mass limit.

21) The mining robot must provide its own onboard power. No facility power will be provided to the mining robot. There are no power limitations except that the mining robot must be self-powered and included in the maximum mining robot mass limit of 80.0 kg.

22) The mining robot must be equipped with an easily accessible red emergency stop button (kill switch) of minimum diameter of 40 mm on the surface of the mining robot requiring no steps to access. The emergency stop button must stop the mining robot's motion and disable all power to the mining robot with one push motion on the button. It must be highly reliable and instantaneous. For these reasons an unmodified "Commercial Off-The-Shelf" (COTS) red button is required. A closed control signal to a mechanical relay is allowed as long as it stays open to disable the mining robot. The reason for this rule is to completely safe the mining robot in the event of a fire or other mishap. The button should disconnect the batteries from all controllers (high current, forklift type button) and it should isolate the batteries from the rest of the active sub-systems as well. Only laptop computers may stay powered on if powered by its internal battery.

23) The communications rules for telerobotic operations follow.

A. MINING ROBOT WIRELESS LINK

1. Each team is required to command and monitor their mining robot over the NASA-provided network infrastructure. Figure 1 shows
   a. the configuration provided to teams to communicate with their mining robot,
   b. the "Mars Lander" camera staged in the Caterpillar Mining Arena, and Mars Lander Control Joystick provided to the team in the Mission Control Center,
   c. the official timing display, which includes a real-time display of BP-1 collected during the match, and
   d. the handheld radios that will be provided to each team to link their Mission Control Center team members with their corresponding team members in the Caterpillar Mining Arena during setup.



Figure 1

2. Each team will provide the wireless link (access point, bridge, or wireless device) to their mining robot, which means that each team will bring their own Wi-Fi equipment/router and any required power conversion devices. Teams must set their own network IP addresses to enable communication between their mining robot and their control computers, through their own wireless link hosted in the Caterpillar Mining Arena.
   a. In the Caterpillar Mining Arena, NASA will provide an elevated network drop (female RJ-45 Ethernet jack) that extends to the Mission Control Center, where NASA will provide a network switch for the teams to plug in their laptops.
      i. The network drop in the Caterpillar Mining Arena will be elevated high enough above the edge of the regolith bed wall to provide adequate radio frequency visibility of the Caterpillar Mining Arena.
      ii. A shelf will be set up next to the network drop, will be 4 to 6 feet off the ground, and will be no more than 50 feet from the mining robot. This shelf is where teams will place their Wireless Access Point (WAP) to communicate with their mining robot. The Caterpillar Mining Arena will be 150 to 200 feet from the Mission Control Center.
      iii. The WAP shelves for side A and side B of the Caterpillar Mining Arena will be at least 25 feet apart to prevent electromagnetic interference (EMI) between the units.
   b. Power interfaces:
      i) NASA will provide a standard US National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) 5-15 type, 110 VAC, 60 Hz electrical jack by the network drop. Both will be no more than 5 feet from the shelf.
      ii) NASA will provide a standard US NEMA 5-15 type, 110 VAC, 60 Hz electrical jack in the Mission Control Center for each team.
      iii) The team must provide any conversion devices needed to interface team access points or Mission Control Center computers or devices with the provided power sources.
   c. During the setup phase, the teams will set up their access point and verify communication with their mining robot from the Mission Control Center.

3. The teams must use the USA IEEE 802.11 b/g standard for their wireless connection (WAP and rover client). Teams cannot use multiple channels for data transmission. Encryption is not required, but it is highly encouraged to prevent unexpected problems with team links.
   a. During a match, one team will operate on channel 1 and the other team will operate on channel 11.
   b. Channels will be assigned when the teams check in with the Pit crew chief.
4. Each team will be assigned an SSID that they must use for their wireless equipment.
   a. SSID will be "Team_##."
   b. Teams will broadcast their SSID.
5. Bandwidth constraints:
   a. A team will be awarded the Efficient Use of Communications Power Award for using the lowest average bandwidth during the timed and NASA-monitored portion of the competition. Teams must collect the minimum 10 kg of BP-1 to qualify for this award.
   b. The communications link is required to have an average bandwidth of no more than 5 megabits per second. There will not be a peak bandwidth limit.

B. RF & COMMUNICATIONS APPROVAL

1. Each team must demonstrate to the communication judges that their mining robot and access point are operating only on their assigned channel. Each team will have approximately 15 minutes at the communication judges' station.
2. To successfully pass the communication judges' station, a team must drive their mining robot by commanding it from their mining robot driving/control laptop through their wireless access point. The judges will verify the course of travel and verify that the team is operating only on their assigned channel.
3. If a team cannot demonstrate the above tasks in the allotted time, the team will be disqualified from the competition.
4. On Monday, May 19, 2014, on a first-come, first-serve basis, the teams will be able to show the communication judges their compliance with the rules.
5. The NASA communications technical experts will be available to help teams make sure that they are ready for the communication judges' station on Monday, May 19, 2014, and Tuesday, May 20, 2014.
6. Once the team arrives at the communication judges' station, the team can no longer receive assistance from the NASA communications technical experts.
7. If a team is on the wrong channel during their competition attempts, the team will be disqualified and required to power down.

C. WIRELESS DEVICE OPERATION IN THE PITS

1. Teams will not be allowed to power up their transmitters on any frequency in the Pits during the practice matches or competition attempts. All teams must have a hard-wired connection for testing in the Pits.
2. Teams will have designated times to power up their transmitters when no matches are underway.

24) The mining robot must be contained within 1.5 m length x 0.75 m width x 0.75 m height. The mining robot may deploy or expand beyond the 1.5 m x 0.75 m footprint after the start of each competition attempt, but may not exceed a 1.5 meter height. The mining robot may not pass beyond the confines of the outside wall of the Caterpillar Mining Arena and the Collector Bin during each competition attempt to avoid potential interference with the surrounding tent. The team must declare the orientation of length and width to the inspection judge. Because of actual Martian hardware requirements, no ramps of any kind will be provided or allowed. An arrow on the reference point must mark the forward direction of the mining robot in the starting position configuration. The judges will use this reference point and arrow to orient the mining robot in the randomly selected direction and position. A multiple mining robot system is allowed but the total mass and starting dimensions of the whole system must comply with the volumetric dimensions given in this rule.

25) To ensure that the mining robot is usable for an actual Martian mission, the mining robot cannot employ any fundamental physical processes, gases, fluids or consumables that would not work in the Martian

environment. For example, any dust removal from a lens or sensor must employ a physical process that would be suitable for the Martian surface. Teams may use processes that require an Earth-like environment (e.g., oxygen, water) only if the system using the processes is designed to work in a Martian environment and if such resources used by the mining robot are included in the mass of the mining robot. Closed pneumatic mining systems are allowed only if the gas is supplied by the mining robot itself. Note: the mining robot will be exposed to outside air temperatures averaging 90 degrees Fahrenheit during inspection and while waiting to enter the Caterpillar Mining Arena.

26) Components (i.e. electronic and mechanical) are not required to be space qualified for Martian atmospheric, electromagnetic, and thermal environments. Since budgets are limited, the competition rules are intended to require mining robots to show Martian plausible system functionality but the components do not have to be traceable to a Martian qualified component version. Examples of allowable components are: Sealed Lead-Acid (SLA) or Nickel Metal Hydride (NiMH) batteries; composite materials; rubber or plastic parts; actively fan cooled electronics; motors with brushes; infrared sensors, inertial measurement units, and proximity detectors and/or Hall Effect sensors, but proceed at your own risk since the BP-1 is very dusty. Teams may use honeycomb structures as long as they are strong enough to be safe. Teams may not use GPS, rubber pneumatic tires; air/foam filled tires; open or closed cell foam, ultrasonic proximity sensors; or hydraulics because NASA does not anticipate the use of these on a Mars mission.

27) The mining robot may not use any process that causes the physical or chemical properties of the BP-1 to be changed or otherwise endangers the uniformity between competition attempts.

28) The mining robot may not penetrate the BP-1 surface with more force than the weight of the mining robot before the start of each competition attempt.

29) No ordnance, projectile, far-reaching mechanism (adhering to Rule 24), etc. may be used. The mining robot must move on the BP-1 surface.

30) No team can intentionally harm another team's mining robot. This includes radio jamming, denial of service to network, BP-1 manipulation, ramming, flipping, pinning, conveyance of current, or other forms of damage as decided upon by the judges. Immediate disqualification will result if judges deem any maneuvers by a team as being offensive in nature. Erratic behavior or loss of control of the mining robot as determined by the judges will be cause for immediate disqualification. A judge may disable the mining robot by pushing the red emergency stop button at any time.

31) Teams must electronically submit documentation containing a description of their mining robot, its operation, potential safety hazards, a diagram, and basic parts list by April 30, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time.

32) Teams must electronically submit a **link** to their YouTube video documenting no less than 30 seconds but no more than 5 minutes of their mining robot in operation for at least one full cycle of operation by April 30, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time via e-mail to Bethanne.Hull@nasa.gov. One full cycle of operations includes excavation and depositing material. This video documentation is solely for technical evaluation of the mining robot.

Shipping

33) **Plan ahead for shipping your mining robot and its battery(s) as some batteries may not be allowed on board airplanes or in shipping containers.** Teams may ship their mining robots to **arrive no earlier than May 12, 2014**. The mining robots will be held in a safe, non air-conditioned area and be placed in each team's Space Pit by Monday, May 19, 2014. The **ship to** address is:

Transportation Officer, NASA
Central Supply, Bldg M6-744
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899
M/F: KSC Visitor Complex, NASA's Robotic Mining Competition, M/C: DNPS

Note: Do not have the shipping company deliver the mining robot directly to the Kennedy Space Center Visitor Complex. They do not have facilities to store them until the Pits are set up. The shipper will come to the Pass & ID facility right before the Kennedy Space Center gate on State Road 405. Central Receiving will send an escort.

34) Return shipping arrangements must be made prior to the competition. All mining robots must be picked up from the Kennedy Space Center Visitor Complex **no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 28, 2014**. Any abandoned mining robots will be discarded after this date. The **return** shipping address is:

Kennedy Space Center Visitor Complex
Robotic Mining Shipping Area
Mail Code: DNPS
State Road 405
Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899

Caterpillar Mining Arena Diagrams



Diagram 1: Caterpillar Mining Arena (isometric view)



Diagram 2: Caterpillar Mining Arena (top view)

Collector bin Diagram



Diagram 3: Collector Bin

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Systems Engineering Paper

Each team must submit a Systems Engineering Paper electronically in PDF by April 21, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time. Your paper should discuss the Systems Engineering methods used to design and build your mining robot. All pertinent information required in the rubric must be in the body of the paper. A minimum score of 16 out of 20 possible points must be achieved to qualify to win in this category. In the case of a tie, the judges will choose the winning Systems Engineering Paper. The judges' decision is final. The team with the winning Systems Engineering Paper will receive a team plaque, individual certificates, and a $500 team scholarship. Second and third place winners will receive certificates.

For reference, undergraduate course materials in NASA Systems Engineering, are available at www.spacese.spacegrant.org.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Systems Engineering Paper Scoring Rubric	
Elements	**Points**
Content: • Formatted professionally, clearly organized, correct grammar and spelling, size 12 font; single spaced, maximum of 20 pages not including the cover, table of contents, and source pages. Appendices are allowed and limited to 5 pages, and should referenced in main body. Cover page must include: team name, title of paper, full names of all team members, university name, and faculty advisor's full name. • Title page must include the signature of the sponsoring faculty advisor and a statement that he/she has read and reviewed the paper prior to submission to NASA. • Purpose Statement must be included and related to the application of systems engineering to NASA's Robotic Mining Competition.	There are 3 points for 3 elements.
Intrinsic Merit: • Cost budget (estimated costs vs. actual costs) • Design philosophy in the context of systems engineering; discuss what your team is optimizing in your design approach (light weight? automation? BP-1 capacity? etc.) • Schedule of work from inception to arrival at competition • Major reviews: system requirements, preliminary design and critical design	There are 4 points for 4 elements. Up to 2 additional points may be awarded for exceptional work related to systems engineering intrinsic merit, for a total of 6 points.
Technical Merit: • Concept of operations • System hierarchy • Interfaces • Requirements • Technical budgets (mass, power & data allocated to components vs. actual mass, power, & data usage) • Trade-off assessments • Reliability • Verification of system meeting requirements	There are 8 points for 8 elements. Up to 3 additional points may be awarded for exceptional work related to systems engineering technical merit, for a total of 11 points.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Outreach Project Report

Each team must participate in an educational outreach project in their local community. Outreach examples include actively participating in school career days, science fairs, technology fairs, extracurricular science or robotics clubs, or setting up exhibits in local science museums or a local library. Other ideas include organizing a program with a Boys and Girls Club, Girl Scouts, Boy Scouts, etc. Teams are encouraged to have fun with the outreach project and share knowledge of NASA's Robotic Mining Competition, engineering or Martian activities with the local community.

Each team must submit a report of the Outreach Project electronically in PDF by April 21, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time. A minimum score of 16 out of 20 possible points must be achieved to qualify to win in this category. In the case of a tie, the judges will choose the winning outreach project. The judges' decision is final. The team with the winning outreach project report will receive a team plaque, individual certificates, and a $500 team scholarship. Second and third place winners will receive certificates.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Outreach Project Report Scoring Rubric	
Elements	**Points**
Structure, Content and Intrinsic Merit: • Formatted professionally, clearly organized, correct grammar and spelling, size 12 font; single spaced, maximum of 5 pages not including the cover. Appendices are not allowed, however, a link in the body of the report to a multimedia site with additional photos or videos is allowed. Cover page must include: team name, title of paper, full names of all team members, university name and faculty advisor's full name. • Purpose for this outreach project, identify outreach recipient group(s). • Illustrations must appropriately demonstrate the outreach project.	There are 3 points for 3 elements. Up to 2 additional points may be awarded for exceptional work related to outreach intrinsic merit, for a total of 5 points.
Educational Outreach Merit: • The report must effectively describe what the outreach activity(s) was. • The report must describe exactly how the Robotic Mining Competition team participated. • The report must reflect how the outreach project inspired others to learn about robotics, engineering or Martian activities. • The report must demonstrate the quality of the outreach including how hands-on activities were used to engage the audience at their level of understanding. • The report must show statistics on the participants. Examples include an in-depth or long term outreach project or follow-up with the participants.	There are 10 points for 5 elements. Up to 5 additional points may be awarded for exceptional work related to educational outreach merit, for a total of 15 points.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Slide Presentation and Demonstration

The Robotic Mining Slide Presentation and Demonstration is an optional category in the overall competition. The presentation and demonstration must be no more than 20 minutes with an additional 5 minutes for questions and answers. It will be judged at the competition in front of an audience including NASA and private industry judges. The presentations must be submitted electronically in PDF by April 21, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time. Teams **MUST** present the slides turned in on April 21st. Visual aids, such as videos and handouts, may be used during the presentation but videos must be presented using the team's own laptop. You may NOT update/modify your slide presentation and present it from your laptop. A minimum score of 16 out of 20 possible points must be achieved to qualify to win in this category. The content, formatting and illustration portion of the score will be judged prior to the live presentation and scored based on the presentation turned in on April 21st. In the case of a tie, the judges will choose the winning presentation. The judges' decision is final. The team with the winning presentation will receive a team plaque, individual team certificates, and a $500 team scholarship. Second and third place winners will receive certificates.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Slide Presentation and Demonstration Scoring Rubric	
Elements	**Points**
Content, formatting, and illustrations: • Content includes a cover slide (with team name, presentation title, names of team members, university name, and faculty advisor's name). Also includes an introduction slide and referenced sources. • Formatting is readable and aesthetically pleasing with proper grammar and spelling. • Illustrations support the technical content • Illustrations show progression of the project and final design	There are 4 points for 4 elements. Up to 2 additional points may be awarded for exceptional slides, for a total of 6 points.
Technical Merit: • Design Process • Design Decisions • Final Design • Mining robot functionality • Special features - highlight what makes the mining robot unique or innovative	There are 5 points for 5 elements. Up to 2 additional points may be awarded for exceptional work related to technical merit, for a total of 7 points.
Presentation: • Handles slides and equipment professionally • Engages audience and infuses personality • Creative and inspirational • Demonstrates Robot • Answers questions	There are 5 points for 5 elements. Up to 2 additional points may be awarded for an exceptional presentation, for a total of 7 points.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Team Spirit

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Team Spirit is an optional category in the overall competition. A minimum score of 12 out of 15 possible points must be achieved to qualify to win in this category. In the case of a tie, the judges will choose the winning team. The judges' decision is final. The team winning the Team Spirit Award at the competition will receive a team plaque, individual certificates, and a $500 team scholarship. Second and third place winners will receive certificates.

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Team Spirit Competition Scoring Rubric				
Elements	**3**	**2**	**1**	**0**
Teamwork: • Exhibits teamwork in and out of the Caterpillar Mining Arena • Exhibits a strong sense of collaboration within the team • Supports other teams with a healthy sense of competition	All three elements are exceptionally demonstrated	Three elements are clearly demonstrated	Two or less elements are clearly demonstrated	Zero elements are clearly demonstrated
Attitude: • Exudes a positive attitude in all interactions, not limited to competition attempt • Demonstrates an infectious energy by engaging others in team activities • Motivates and encourages own team • Motivates and encourages other teams • Keeps pit clean and tidy at all times	All five elements are exceptionally demonstrated	Four elements are exceptionally demonstrated	Three or less elements are clearly demonstrated	Zero elements are clearly demonstrated
Creativity & Originality: • Demonstrates creativity and originality in team activities, name, and logo • Wears distinctive team identifiers • Decorates team's Pit to reflect school/team spirit	All three elements are exceptionally demonstrated	Three elements are clearly demonstrated	Two or less elements are clearly demonstrated	Zero elements are clearly demonstrated
Sportsmanship: • Demonstrates fairness • Shows respect for both authority and opponents • Promotes specific cultural and/or regional pride • Demonstrates fellowship with competitors	All four elements are exceptionally demonstrated	Three elements are clearly demonstrated	Two or less elements are clearly demonstrated	Zero elements are clearly demonstrated
Feedback at Competition	Up to three points for compliment cards collected at the Competition.			

Categories & Awards

In addition to the awards listed below, school plaques and/or individual team certificates will be awarded for exemplary performance in the following categories:

Category	Required/ Optional	Due Dates	Award	Maximum Points toward Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence
On-site Mining in the Caterpillar Mining Arena	Required	May 21-23, 2014	First place $3,000 team scholarship and Kennedy launch invitations	25
			Second place $2,000 team scholarship and Kennedy launch invitations	20
			Third place $1,000 team scholarship and Kennedy launch invitations	15
			Teams not placing 1st, 2nd, or 3rd will receive one point per kilogram mined and deposited up to 10 points	Up to 10
Systems Engineering Paper	Required	April 21, 2014	$500 team scholarship	Up to 20
Outreach Project Report	Required	April 21, 2014	$500 team scholarship	Up to 20
Slide Presentation and Demonstration	Optional	April 21, 2014 and On-Site on May 21-23, 2014	$500 team scholarship	Up to 20
Team Spirit Competition	Optional	All Year	$500 team scholarship	Up to 15
Joe Kosmo Award for Excellence	Grand Prize for Most Points	All Year	A school trophy, $5,000 team scholarship and KSC launch invitations	Total of above points, maximum of 100 points possible
Judges' Innovation Award	Optional	May 21-23, 2014	A school trophy	
Efficient Use of Communications Power Award	Optional	May 21-23, 2014	A school trophy	
Caterpillar's Autonomy Award	Optional	May 21-23, 2014	First place $1,500 team scholarship Second place $750 team scholarship Third place $250 team scholarship	

NASA's Robotic Mining Competition Checklist

All documents are due by 12:00 p.m. (noon) eastern time.

Required Competition Elements

If required elements are not received by the due dates, then the team is not eligible to compete in any part of the competition (NO EXCEPTIONS).

Registration Application*	50 teams are registered
Systems Engineering Paper	April 21, 2014
Outreach Project Report	April 21, 2014
On-site Mining	May 21-23, 2014
o Team Check-in, Unload/Uncrate mining robot	May 19, 2014 by 3:00 p.m.
o Practice Days	May 19-20, 2014
o Competition Days	May 21-23, 2014
o Awards Ceremony	May 23, 2014 (evening)

Optional Competition Elements

Presentation File	April 21, 2014
Team Spirit	All year

Required Documentation

Letter of Support from lead university's Faculty Advisor	With Complete Application
Letter of Support from lead university's Dean of Engineering	January 20, 2014
Team Roster	January 20, 2014
Student Participant Form	January 20, 2014
Faculty Participation Form	January 20, 2014
Transcripts (unofficial copy is acceptable)**	January 20, 2014
Signed Media Release Form	January 20, 2014
Corrections to NASA generated Team Roster	February 24, 2014
Team Photo including faculty (high resolution .jpg format preferred)	March 24, 2014
Team Biography (200 words maximum)	March 24, 2014
Head Count Form	March 24, 2014
Revised Team Roster (no changes accepted after this date)	March 24, 2014
Rule 31 documentation	April 30, 2014
Rule 32 video	April 30, 2014
Shipping Bill of Lading/Commercial Invoice	April 30, 2014

Optional Documentation

Student Resume (optional)	December 2, 2013

* Registration is limited to the first 50 approved U.S. teams. Registration is limited to one team per university campus. Registration will end when NASA approves 50 applications.

** Each student's Transcript must be from the university and show:

- name of university
- name of student
- current student status within the 2013-2014 academic year
- coursework taken and grades

Definitions

Autonomous – The operation of a team's mining robot with no human interaction.

Black Point-1 (BP-1) – A crushed lava basalt aggregate which is similar to Mars Volcanic Ash. The BP-1 will be compacted with a fluffy top layer similar to the Martian surface. However, it does not behave like sand. The study on BP-1 is available on http://www.nasa.gov/offices/education/centers/kennedy/technology/nasarmc.html. Also, watch the Lunabotics Webcast where Dr. Philip Metzger, a NASA Physicist, describes BP-1 and its behavior. It is available at http://youtu.be/hMfrv7mlxbE. The density of the compacted BP-1 aggregate will be between 1.5 g/cm^3 and 1.8 g/cm^3. The top 2 cm will be raked to a fluffy condition of approximately .75 g/cm^3. There are naturally occurring rocks in the BP-1 aggregate. The coefficient of friction has not been measured for BP-1. BP-1 behaves like a silty powder soil and most particles are under 100 microns diameter. The coefficient of friction and the cohesion of Martian soil have not been precisely measured due to a lack of scientific data from Mars. Instead, they have been estimated via a variety of techniques. Both parameters (coefficient of friction and cohesion) are highly dependent on the compaction (bulk density, porosity) of the Martian soil. Since the properties of Mars regolith vary and are not well known, this competition will assume that Martian basaltic regolith properties are similar to the Lunar regolith as stated in the Lunar Sourcebook: A User's Guide to the Moon, edited by G. H. Heiken, D. T. Vaniman, and B. M. French, copyright 1991, Cambridge University Press. Teams are encouraged to develop or procure simulants based on basaltic minerals and lunar surface regolith particle size, shape, and distribution. BP-1 is not commercially available and it is made from crushed basalt fines. However, JSC-1A is available from Orbital Technologies at: http://www.orbitec.com/store/simulant.html and NU-LHT is commercially available from Zybek Advanced Products (ZAP) at: http://www.zybekap.com/.

BP-1 reflectivity – NASA performed tests to answer questions about BP-1 reflectivity for LIDAR (or other LASER-based) navigation systems. The laser is not a beam – it is spread out as a sheet that is oriented in the vertical direction, so it is draped across the BP-1 and across a white/gray/black target that is standing up behind the BP-1 in the images. The BP-1 is the mound at the bottom of each image. Teams can get the reflectivity of the BP-1 by comparing the brightness of the laser sheet seen reflected from the BP-1 with the brightness of the same sheet reflected from the white and black portions of the target. The three images are for the three angles of the laser. Note the BP-1 is mounded so they need to account for the fact that it is not a flat surface if they choose to analyze the brightness in the images. The three pictures below were shot with the camera at 10, 16, and 21 degrees relative to the surface. The laser was at an angle of 15 degrees. The camera speed and aperture were set to (manual mode): 1/8 s, f/4.5.



10 degree



16 degree



21 degree

Caterpillar Mining Arena – An open-topped container (i.e., a box with a bottom and 4 side walls), containing BP-1, within which the mining robot will perform each competition attempt. The inside dimensions of the each side of the Caterpillar Mining Arena will be 7.38 meters long and 3.88 meters wide, and 1 meter in depth. The BP-1 aggregate will be approximately .5 meters in depth and approximately .5 meters from the top of the walls to the surface. The Caterpillar Mining Arena for the practice days and official competition will be provided by NASA. The Caterpillar Mining Arena will be outside in an enclosed tent. The Caterpillar Mining Arena lighting will consist of high intensity discharge (HID) lights such as metal halide lights inside a tent structure with clear sides, which is not quite as bright as outdoor daylight conditions. The atmosphere will be an air-conditioned tent without significant air currents and cooled to approximately 77 degrees Fahrenheit. See Diagrams 1 – 3. The Caterpillar Mining Arena steel, primer and paint specifications are as follows:

1. Steel: A-36(walls) & A-992(I-beams) structural steel
2. Primer: Devran 201 epoxy primer, 2.0 to 3.0 mils, Dry Film Thickness (DFT)
3. Paint: Blue Devthane 379 polyurethane enamel, 2.0 to 3.0 mils, DFT (per coat)

Collector Bin – A Collector Bin in the Caterpillar Mining Arena for each competition attempt into which each team will deposit excavated BP-1. The Collector Bin will be large enough to accommodate each team's excavated BP-1. The Collector Bin will be stationary and located adjacent to the Caterpillar Mining Arena. See Diagram 3.

Competition attempt – The operation of a team's mining robot intended to meet all the requirements for winning the mining category by performing the functional task. The duration of each competition attempt is 10-minutes.

Excavated mass – Mass of the excavated BP-1 deposited to the Collector bin by the team's mining robot during each competition attempt, measured in kilograms (kg) with official result recorded to the nearest one tenth of a kilogram (0.1 kg).

Functional task – The excavation of BP-1 from the Caterpillar Mining Arena by the mining robot and deposit of BP-1 from the mining robot into the Collector Bin.

Martian like – Basis of merit associated with feasibility of:

1. Packaging into a small stowed volume for transportation to Mars (1.5 m x .75 m x .75 m)
2. Low mass - it costs $5,000 per kg to send mass to Low Earth Orbit and about 2.5 Million per kg to the Martian surface (based on NASA Mars Science Lab).
3. Simple and reliable – able to operate for 5 years without maintenance on the Martian surface
4. Martian dust tolerant
5. Easy to teleoperate
6. Able to survive a Martian winter

Mining robot – A teleoperated or autonomous robotic excavator in the Robotic Mining Competition including mechanical and electrical equipment, batteries, gases, fluids and consumables delivered by a team to compete in the competition.

Mining points – Points earned from the two competition attempts in the Robotic Mining Competition will be averaged to determine ranking in the on-site mining category.

Practice time – Teams will be allowed to practice with their mining robots in the Caterpillar Mining Arena. NASA technical experts will offer feedback on real-time networking performance during practice attempt. A maximum of two practice attempts will be allowed, but not guaranteed.

Reference point – A fixed location signified by an arrow showing the forward direction on the mining robot that will serve to verify the starting orientation of the mining robot within the Caterpillar Mining Arena.

Telerobotic – Communication with and control of the mining robot during each competition attempt must be performed solely through the provided communications link which is required to have a total average bandwidth of no more than 5.0 megabits/second on all data and video sent to and received from the mining robot.

Time Limit – 10 minutes to set up the mining robot in the Caterpillar Mining Arena, 10 minutes for the mining robot to perform the functional task, and 5 minutes to remove the mining robot.

<u>Appendix B: Gantt Chart</u>

Milestone / Associated Major Task	Start	End
Pre Phase A: Concept Studies	**20-Jan**	**18-Feb**
Mission Statement	20-Jan	4-Feb
Background Information	22-Jan	30-Jan
Functional Decomp.	22-Jan	27-Jan
General Trade Studies	27-Jan	13-Feb
Concept Generation	27-Jan	18-Feb
First Meeting with Sponsor		11-Feb
Phase A: Concept Development	**18-Feb**	**4-Mar**
Experimentation (General)	18-Feb	24-Feb
CAD Modeling	21-Feb	19-Mar
Advanced Trade Studies	21-Feb	6-Mar
Auger Testing	24-Feb	19-Mar
Phase B: Preliminary Design	**28-Feb**	**28-Mar**
Subsystem Conceptual Design	28-Feb	25-Mar
Wheel Prototype Testing	7-Mar	19-Mar
Meeting with Sponsor		17-Mar
Electrical Conceptual Design	21-Mar	27-Mar
PDR Presentation		28-Mar
Suggested Schedule		
Phase C(1): Final Design	**28-Mar**	**24-Apr**
Subsystem Final Design	28-Mar	10-Apr
Finalized CAD Models/Drawings	3-Apr	17-Apr
FEA/Prototype Testing	1-Apr	17-Apr
Electrical/Comm Design	28-Mar	17-Apr
CDR Presentation		24-Apr
Phase C(2): Fabrication	24-Apr	12-Jul
Phase D(1): SAITL component level	24-Apr	12-Jul
Phase D(2): SAITL Subsystem level	2-Jun	14-Jul
One Wheel Built		11-Jun
Chasis/Bin Built		9-Jul
Subsystem Testing	11-Jun	14-Jul
Phase D(3): SAITL System/Ver.	14-Jul	23-Jul
Phase D(4): SAITL System Validation	23-Jul	25-Jul
Design Notebook	20-Jan	25-Jul
Gantt Chart	20-Jan	25-Jul



Symbol Legend	
Set Due Date	
Set/Moveable Due Date	
Department Set Date	
Finished Milestone	
Terminated Milestone	
Arrival Date	Due Date
Time Worked and Due Date	Due Date

Gantt Chart (continued)

Milestone Associated Major Task	Start	End	20-Apr	27-Apr	4-May	11-May	18-May	25-May	1-Jun	8-Jun	15-Jun	22-Jun	29-Jun	6-Jul	13-Jul	20-Jul
Pre Phase A: Concept Studies	**20-Jan**	**18-Feb**														
Mission Statement	20-Jan	4-Feb														
Background Information	22-Jan	30-Jan														
Functional Decomp.	22-Jan	27-Jan														
General Trade Studies	27-Jan	13-Feb														
Concept Generation	27-Jan	18-Feb														
First Meeting with Sponsor		11-Feb														
Phase A: Concept Development	**18-Feb**	**4-Mar**														
Experimentation (General)	18-Feb	24-Feb														
CAD Modeling	21-Feb	19-Mar														
Advanced Trade Studies	21-Feb	6-Mar														
Auger Testing	24-Feb	19-Mar														
Phase B: Preliminary Design	**28-Feb**	**28-Mar**														
Subsystem Conceptual Design	28-Feb	25-Mar														
Wheel Prototype Testing	7-Mar	19-Mar														
Meeting with Sponsor		17-Mar														
Electrical Conceptual Design	21-Mar	27-Mar														
PDR Presentation		28-Mar														
Suggested Schedule																
Phase C(1): Final Design	**28-Mar**	**24-Apr**														
Subsystem Final Design	28-Mar	10-Apr														
Finalized CAD Models/Drawings	3-Apr	17-Apr														
FEA/Prototype Testing	1-Apr	17-Apr														
Electrical/Comm Design	28-Mar	17-Apr														
CDR Presentation		24-Apr														
Phase C(2): Fabrication	24-Apr	12-Jul														
Phase D(1): SAITL component level	24-Apr	12-Jul														
Phase D(2): SAITL Subsystem level	2-Jun	14-Jul														
One Wheel Built		11-Jun														
Chasis/Bin Built		9-Jul														
Subsystem Testing	11-Jun	14-Jul														
Phase D(3): SAITL System/Ver.	14-Jul	23-Jul														
Phase D(4): SAITL System Validation	23-Jul	25-Jul														
Design Notebook	20-Jan	25-Jul														
Gantt Chart	20-Jan	25-Jul														

Appendix C: Vee Chart



Appendix D: Risk Management Chart

Priority	Description	Risk Expectation	Required Follow-up	Type	Required Action/Status
1	Wheel Jammed	Likelihood: Low Consequence: Failure to dig and/or drive (Mod)	Research/Testing	Technical	Determine method to ensure jams don't happen
2	BP-1 Not Sliding into Bin	Likelihood: Mod Consequence: Buildup of BP-1 on ramp (Mod)	Testing/Watch	Technical	Initial tests say 30 degrees is sufficient. Follow-up tests when fabricating
3	Auger Jammed	Likelihood: Mod Consequence: Buildup of BP-1 in bin/no dumping ability (Hi)	Research/Testing	Technical	Test when fabricating
4	Dirt in Drivetrain	Likelihood: Mod Consequence: Malfunction/failure (Mod)	Testing/Watch	Technical	Test to ensure dust cover provides sufficient cover/clean between runs
5	Linear Actuator in Wheel Fails	Likelihood: Low Consequence: No digging or disqualified run (Hi)	Watch	Technical	Examine during test runs and before each competition run
6	Loss of Comm System	Likelihood: High, Lo Consequence: Loss of control -Temporary (Lo) -Permanent (Hi)	Research/Testing	Technical	Ensure ability to reconnect, allow autonomous operations to take over
7	Malfunction in Autonomy	Likelihood: Mod Consequence: Loss of autonomy points (Lo)	Research/Testing	Technical	Introduce redundancy in autonomous sensors, provide checks in software
8	Electrical Short	Likelihood: Low Consequence: Loss of control/fire (Hi)	Watch	Safety/Technical	Ensure kill switches work before each run
9	Robot Tips Over	Likelihood: Low Consequence: Loss of control (Hi)	Testing/Watch	Technical	Make sure weight of BP-1 dug is centered between wheels

Appendix E: Electric Motor Specification Sheet

直流馬達 *(DC Carbon-brush motors)*

IG-52 GEARED MOTOR SERIES

IG-52GM

03&04 TYPE

REDUCTION RATIO	L	REDUCTION RATIO	L
1/3~1/4	53.0	1/43~1/113	84.0
1/12~1/26	68.5	1/150~1/936	99.5

外形尺寸 *APPEARANCE SIZE*



GEARED MOTOR TORQUE/SPEED

	減速比 Reduction ratio	1/3	1/4	1/12	1/15	1/19	1/26	1/43	1/53	1/66	1/81	1/100	1/113	1/150	1/230	1/285	1/353	1/488	1/546	1/676	1/936
12V	定格扭力(Kg-cm) Rated torque	2.5	3.1	7.7	9.5	11.8	16	23	28	35	44	54	60	67	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	定格回轉數(rpm) Rated speed	1030	835	295	238	192	139	84	68	55	44	36	32	24	15.5	12.8	10.4	7.6	6.7	5.6	4.0
24V	定格扭力(Kg-cm) Rated torque	3.6	4.5	11	13.5	17	23	33	41	51	62	78	88	97	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	定格回轉數(rpm) Rated speed	1000	815	285	230	185	136	82	67	54	44	35	31	23.5	15.6	12.9	10.5	7.7	6.8	5.7	4.1

馬達單體型式 /MOTOR DATTA

定格電壓 Rated volt (V)	定格扭力 Rated torque (g-cm)	定格回轉數 Rated speed (rpm)	定格電流 Rated current (mA)	無負荷回轉數 No load speed (rpm)	無負荷電流 No load current (mA)	定格出力 Rated output (W)	重量 Weight (g)
12	900	3620	≤ 4100	4000	≤ 1200	33.5	920
24	1300	3550	≤ 2850	4000	≤ 700	48.6	920

馬達單體特性圖 /MOTOR CHARACTERISTICS





Appendix F: Scoop Gathering Rate

```
%NASA Mining Robot

clear,clc
% Parameters                              % Units
BP1_Density=0.0406432;                    % lb/in^3
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Design Parameters
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
Efficiency = 0.10;                        % Volume Dirt/Volume Scoop
diaWheel=20;                              % in
scoopVolume=29.376;                       % in^3
numScoops=10;                             %
AngularSpeed=.5;                          % rad/s
RPM = AngularSpeed*(60/(2*pi));           % rpm
NumOfWheels=2;                            % number of wheels that dig

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Simulation Parameters
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
RunTime=60;                               % s

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Calculations
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
perimeter=pi*diaWheel;                    % in
spacing=perimeter/numScoops;              % in/scoop
Velocity=(diaWheel/2)*AngularSpeed;       % in/s
DumpRate=Velocity/spacing;                % scoops /second
% Amount of BP1 per scoop
AmountBP1=scoopVolume*BP1_Density*Efficiency;      %lbs/scoop
% Harvest Rate BP1 Per Seconds
BP1HarvestRate=AmountBP1*DumpRate*NumOfWheels;     % lbs/s

% Total BP1 harvested
TotalBP1=BP1HarvestRate*RunTime;          % lbs

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% Printing to terminal
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
fprintf('\tTarget BP1 To Harvest\n')
fprintf('\t10kg = 22.05lbs\n\n')
fprintf('\tSimulation Results\n')
fprintf('\tRun Time [s]\tDump Rate [lbs/s]\tAmount BP1 [lbs]\n')
fprintf('\t%11.2f\t\t%16.2f\t\t%11.2f\n\n',RunTime,BP1HarvestRate,TotalBP1)

fprintf('\tIndividual Wheel Excavating Spec\n')
fprintf('\tWheel speed [rpm]\tAmount/Scoop [lbs]\tEfficiency [%%]\n')
fprintf('\t%16.2f\t\t%13.2f\t\t%9.2f\n',RPM,AmountBP1,Efficiency*100)
fprintf('\tTotal Amount/Wheel [lbs]\n')
fprintf('\t%23.2f\t\t\n',AmountBP1*DumpRate*RunTime)
```

Appendix G: NASA Lunabot Scoring MATLAB Code

```
%%%NASA LUNABOT SCORING
%%%Matthew Jones, David Faucet, Stewart Boyd, Will Flournoy
%%%Spring 2014

%%This file is intended to estimate the amount of points received per "NASA's Fifth Annual
Robotic Mining Competition Rules and
%%Rubrics 2014."

clc
clear all

%%%Inputs
SafeandCommCheck=input('Pass safety and comm check? (yes=1 n=0) ');
KG=input('Amount of BP1 dug(kg) ');
DATA=input('Amount of kilobits/second average data(kb/sec) ');
WEIGHT=input('Weight of robot (kg) ');
engycon=input('Was energy consumption reported after run (yes=1,  no=0) ');

%%%Dust inputs - (judge's discretion)
dustdrive=input('Enter number from 0 to 10 for points for drivetrain components
enclosed/protected and other component selection ');
   if dustdrive <0 | dustdrive>10
      error('Check input for drivetrain dust.')
   end
dustsealing=input('Enter number from 0 to 10 for points for custom dust sealing features
(bellows,seals,etc.) ');
   if dustsealing <0 | dustsealing>10
      error('Check input for dust sealing features.')
   end
actdust=input('Enter number from 0 to 10 for active dust control (brushing, electrostatics,etc.) ');
   if actdust <0 | actdust>10
      error('Check input for active dust control.')
   end
dustmove=input('enter number from 0 to 20 for driving without dusting up crushed basalt ');
   if dustmove <0 | dustmove>20
      error('Check input for driving without dust.')
   end
dustdig=input('enter number from 0 to 30 for digging without dusting up crushed basalt ');
   if dustdig <0 | dustdig>30
      error('Check input for digging dust.')
   end
dusttransf=input('Enter from 0 to 20 points for transferring crushed basalt without dumping on
robot ');
```

```
    if dusttransf <0 | dusttransf>20
        error('Check input for transfer dust.')
    end

%%%Autonomy Inputs
    autoindex=input('What did robot autonomously robot do? (No autonomy=0  Cross field=1
Cross and excavate=2  Deposit once=3   Full 10 min=4) ');

%%%Start of main code
maxweight=80; %maximum dry weight of robot per rules
if WEIGHT > maxweight
    error('Robot too heavy')
else
    %%%Pass Saftey and comm check
    if SafeandCommCheck == 1
    SafeComm=1000;
    elseif SafeandCommCheck == 0
        error('Must pass safety and comm check to compete.')
    else
        error('Please enter a 1 or 0 for saftey and comm check.')
    end

    %%%Points per kg dug
        initial=10; %10kg to qualify
    if  KG<initial
        DigPoints=0;
        totalpoints=0;
    else
        pointsperkg=3; %points per kg Bp-1 dug over qualifying value
        DigPoints=pointsperkg*(KG-initial);

        %%%Points per 50kb/sec avg data
        datadeduct=(-1/50); %points per kb/sec
        DataPoints= datadeduct*DATA;

        %%%Points per kg mining robot weight
        weightdeduct=-8; %points per kg of robot dry weight
        WeightPoints= weightdeduct*WEIGHT;

        %%%Points for stating energy consumption after run
        if engycon==0 %not stated
            engyconpoints=0;
        elseif engycon==1 %stated
            engyconpoints=20;
        else
            error('Please enter a 1 or 0 for energy consumption reported.');
```

```
        end

        %%%Points for dust free operation
        dustpoints=dustdrive+dustsealing+actdust+dustmove+dustdig+dusttransf;

        %%%Autonomy
        if autoindex == 0 %No autonomy
            autopoints=0;
        elseif autoindex == 1 %Cross field
            autopoints=50;
        elseif autoindex == 2 %Cross field and dig
            autopoints=150;
        elseif autoindex == 3 %One complete run
            autopoints=250;
        elseif autoindex == 4 %Full 10 minutes
            autopoints=500;
        else
            error('Check autonomous input.')
        end

        %%%Total points calc

totalpoints=SafeComm+DigPoints+DataPoints+WeightPoints+engyconpoints+dustpoints+autop
oints

    end
end
```

Appendix H: Bill of Materials

Bill of Materials

2 Digging Wheels and 2 Non Digging Wheels			
Material	Amount	Cost per [$]	Total [$]
6061 Aluminum 24"x24" .05" thick	3	55.88	167.64
6061 Aluminum tube OD 1/2" ID 0.43" length 6'	2	25.15	50.3
6061 Aluminum Rect. Tube 1/2" x 1/2"	3	12.93	38.79
6061 Aluminum Bar Wd 1/4" Thick 1/4" length 6'	4	7.34	29.36
6061 Aluminum Sheet Thick 0.1" 24"x24"	1	32.77	32.77
Polucarbonate Plastic Thick 7/64" 24"x24"	1	21.43	21.43
6061 Aluminum Solid Bar D 3/4" length 6'	1	23.3	23.3
Steel Tapered-Roller Bearings Shaft Dia. 3/4" OD 1 25/32"	6	11.87	71.22
6061 Aluminum Solid Rod OD 2" Length 1'	1	24	24
6061 Aluminum Rect. Tube 3/4" x 3/4" Length 6'	1	15.56	15.56
IG52-04 24 VDC 10 RPM	4	155.08	620.32
Sprockets Chains sets	4	80	320
Continuous pull solenoid. Holding force 12.8 N, Voltage 24 VDC	2	20.42	40.84
Rubber Seal Wd. Inside (1/16" Ht 1/4") outside (3/16" Ht 5/16")	22	0.88	19.36
	Total (wheels)		1474.89

Auger/Bin/Chassis			
Material	Amount	Cost per [$]	Total [$]
IG52-04 24 VDC 10 RPM	1	155.08	155.08
Sprockets Chains sets	1	80	80
Bearings	2	9	18
Screw	1	275	275
Aluminum Cap	1	8	8
Solid Carbon Fiber Sheet ~ 1/8" x 24" x 24" w/ gloss finish	1	236.5	236.5
4' 3" OD Aluminum Tube	1	70.76	70.76
1'x1' 1.25" aluminum plate	1	15.03	15.03
2' .5" Square Aluminum Tube	1	2.34	2.34
12' 1-1/8" Aluminum Tube	1	36	36
	Total Auger/Bin/Chassis		896.71

Electronics			
Material	Amount	Cost per [$]	Total [$]
ACDelco ATX14BS (14-BS) Powersport Battery	1	69.7	69.7
NI myRio Enclosed Device	1	500	500
	Total (Electronics)		569.7
	Total (Overall)		2941.3

Appendix I: References

Iowa State at NASA Lunabotics Mining Competition 2013. YouTube. Web. 02/03/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zb3UfgwKtMw>

NASA Edge Lunabotics 2012. YouTube. Web. 02/04/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MV4WP_RM1q4>

NASA Edge 2013 Lunabotics Mining Competition. YouTube. Web. 02/03/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VtqYTtHvOtY>

NASA's Fifth Annual Robotic Mining Competition, NASA, Web. 02/10/2014. <http://www.nasa.gov/offices/education/centers/kennedy/technology/lunabotics.html#.Uyh1CeJnD_M>

NYU Poly NASA Lunabotics 2012 Entry: Take 2. YouTube. 02/05/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ELJaVzuorv0>

Student's Roadmap to Mech4240-50: Comprehensive Mechanical Design I and II. Auburn University. Web. 01/20/2014. <http://www.eng.auburn.edu/users/dbeale/MECH4240-50>

Team Raptor-Auger Unloading Regolith. YouTube. 03/02/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MCxiaRgrF2M>

UND-Team Raptor-Full Demonstration. YouTube. 03/02/2014. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zuHkZx5vnCc>

2nd Run at 2013 Lunabotics Mining Competition (ISU Lunabotics). YouTube. 02/05/2014. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K-axP5DuCU8>